Mr. Willie Thorpe 2360 West Dorothy Lane Dayton, Ohio 45439

Phil Fox

To:

Michael Copps

Date:

Fri. Apr 11,2003 4:28 PM

Subject:

<No Subject>

Dear Commissioner Copps,

mcopps@fcc.gov

Please let this letter serve as my formal complaint and objection to any pending FCC rulings which may lift restriction's on mergers between Nbroadcast networks and the number of local Norradio stations owned by one company. Such deregulation threatens to further stifle the diversity of programming for consumers, advertisers and producers. One of the main charges of the FCC is to promote diversity, which doesn't just refer to people of color, it refers to many different types of programming. We applaud you Commissioner Copps for attempting to draw attention to this problem. I would like to go on record as being opposed to increased or further media deregulation and wish for you to act on my behalf and STOP further media deregulation.

Respectfully yours,

Phil Fox

phillfox@hotmail.com

The new MSN 8: advanced junk mail protection and 2 months FREE\* http://join.msn.com/?page=features/junkmail

From: amjbar28@earthlink.net

To: Mike Powell

**Date:** Fri, Apr 11, 2003 5:05 PM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Anthony Bar 5300 Walnut St. Apt. 12 Oakland, California 94619

Toni Novak

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Fri, Apr 11, 2003 7:41 PM

**Subject:** 

proposed rule changes

I oppose changes in rules affecting consolidation and merging of media companies. There are too few independent voices. The major media corporations look and sould exactly alike. We need more choices, not less. Many homes still do not have computers and cannot access the Internet. We need the ability to have more than one company managing the news in each community. Fewer media outlets means more propaganda, more censorship, and less access to the truth. Some media outlets, like Clear Channel are censoring musical artists. This is Un-American. Toni Novak, Healdsburg, CA

From: rj\_vanderbilt@hotmail.com

To: Mike Powell

**Date:** Sat, Apr 12,2003 12:54 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Rebecca Vanderbilt PO Box 1916 Boulder, Colorado 80306

rj vanderbilt@hotmail.com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sat, Apr 12,2003 12:54 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Rebecca Vanderbilt PO Box 1916 Boulder. Colorado 80306

bobbie figy Mike Powell

To: **Date:** 

Sat, Apr 12,2003 2:42 AM

Subject:

Concentration of media ownership

## Aloha Mr. Powell:

I would like to say our country has already gone too far in concentrating ownership of media, especially in those of most interest to me: radio, tv and newspapers. Many arguments could be made in this direction. The one most crucial to me is the necessity of having a great diversity of opinions to support our democracy. Without choices from which to choose we will lose our ways to our social and political ideals.

Mahalo (thanks), Bob Figy

robmay@accessus.net

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 12, 2003 9:19 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Robert Mayberry 3705 Thorne Briar Ct. Millstadt. Illinois 62260 From: robmay@accessus.net
To: KathleenAbernathy
Pate: Sat Apr 12, 2003, 9:19 /

**Date:** Sat, Apr 12, 2003 9:19 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Robert Mayberry 3705 Thorne Briar Ct. Millstadt, Illinois 62260

MBa6453@aol.com mpowell@fss.gov

To: Date:

Sat, Apr 12,2003 12:08 PM

Subject:

the end of regulation limiting ownership of media

The airwaves are owned by the public, for the benefit of the public. Therefore making more changes that will concentrate ownership and control into the hands of a very few corporations run counter to the constitutional foundations of our country. We should have a government that serves the people, " by the people for the people", and not for the appointed few to hand monopoly power to a few corporate hands that do not act in the interest or well being of a democratic society. We already see a degrading of the quality of news and entertainment due to prior deregulation. You will effectively destroy what little diversity, local control and editorial independence there is left. Radio has been a basket case for some years now due to your efforts. The only radio choices we have are 30 year old shock jocks with dirty minds and the maturity of 8 year olds. Music is nothing but bland or oldies. God help any artist that would try to be heard. Politically we are on life support instead of news or editorial comment we get screaming extreme confrontationists and no discussion of the real issues. Thanks for selling yourselves and the rest of the country out of free speech. J Bardis Tulsa OK

CC: Kathleen Abernathy

From: MBa6453@aol.com
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, Apr 12, 2003 12:11 PM

Subject: the deregulation of ownership of media

The airwaves are owned by the public, for the benefit of the public. Therefore making more changes that will concentrate ownership and control into the hands of a very few corporations run counter to the constitutional foundations of our country. We should have a government that serves the people, "by the people for the people", and not for the appointed few to hand monopoly power to a few corporate hands that do not act in the interest or well being of a democratic society. We already see a degrading of the quality of news and entertainment due to prior deregulation. *You* will effectively destroy what little diversity, local control and editorial independence there is left. Radio has been a basket case for some years now due to your efforts. The only radio choices we have are 30 year old shock jocks with dirty minds and the maturity of 8 year olds. Music is nothing but bland or oldies. God help any artist that would try to be heard. Politically we are on life support instead of news or editorial comment we get screaming extreme confrontationists and no discussion of the real issues. Thanks for selling yourselves and the rest of the country out of free speech. J Bardis Tulsa OKa

Mike Gormley

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 12, 2003 1:33 PM

Subject:

**Media Consolidation** 

Your reported proposal to lift or loosen restrictions limiting further consolidation and cross ownership of the media in the U.S. is antidemocratic. You should promote diversity, competition and localism. Rules limiting media consolidation should be strengthened not loosened.

**Mike Gormley** 

From: Mike Gormley To: Michael Copps

Date: Sat, Apr 12,2003 1:34 PM

Subject: Media Consolidation

The FCC's reported proposal to lift or loosen restrictions limiting further consolidation and cross ownership of the media in the U.S. is anti-democratic. You should promote diversity, competition and localism. Rules limiting media consolidation should be strengthened not loosened.

Thank you,

Mike Gormley

joethekid@earthlink.net

To:

**Michael Copps** 

Date:

Sat, Apr 12, 2003 6:56 PM

Subject:

<No Subject'

Dear Mr. Copps; This is to let you know that I support your stance on prohibitting cross-ownership of newspapers and broadcasting outlets in the same communities by media giants.

Sincerely, Joseph W. Jones 2114 So. 41st Street

San Diego, Ca. 921134310

From: jwinegardner@igc.org

To: Mike Powell

Date: Sat, Apr 12.2003 7:22 PM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Jill Winegardner 629 Palm Avenue Watsonville. California 95076 From: jwinegardner@igc.org
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Sat, Apr 12, 2003 7:22 PM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemakingan Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, N station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Jill Winegardner 629 Palm Avenue Watsonville, California 95076

dave mcsparran

To:

Michael Copps

Date:

Sun, Apr 13,2003 6:10 AM

Subject: ckear channel, etc.

i have listened to a radio station, kroq in los angeles for more than 20 years. i can't listen to it anymore because, apparently, some company has bought it that programs the music, or is somehow paid to play the music that they play this is sickening. companies like clearchannel have been the death of radio. this trend toward deregulation is destryoing radio. please stop this. we need localism and freedom in the media, not more control by market driven corporate entities. please do what you can to stop deregulation of media ownership, and bring back more local control of content.

dave mcsparran